

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/579,593	Applicant(s) DANKO, JOSEPH J.	
	Examiner Gordon J Stock	Art Unit 2877	

All Participants:

(1) Gordon J Stock, Jr.

(2) Attorney Irving Kriegsman.

Date of Interview: 15 March 2004

Status of Application: after final

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 11 am

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 3, 19

Prior art documents discussed:

U.S. Patent 6,288,780 to Fairley et al.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Upon updated search, Examiner found U.S. Patent 6,288,780 to Fairley et al. read on claims 1, 3, 19 (of after final amend. of 3/4/04) particularly as a potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e) rejection of claims 1 and 3 and a 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection in view of U.S. Patent 6,091,488 to Bishop for claim 19. Examiner suggested cancellation of claims 1, 3, and 19; however, attorney suggested amending of claims to overcome Fairley reference by amending claims 1, 3, and 19 to have the claims read in regards to a single light source rather than possibly reading as two separate sources producing two beams of light. Examiner agreed with proposed amendment to the three claims. And thereby, Examiner agreed to amend claims 1, 3, and 19 in an Examiner's amendment. After final amendment of March 4, 2004 will be entered, and claims 1,3, and 19 will be amended by Examiner's Amendment. See Examiner's Amendment attached.